B. Comments, Protests, or Motions to Intervene—Anyone may submit comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, .211, .214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to intervene in accordance with the commission's rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified comment date for the particular application.

C1. Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—Any filings must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS" "RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TERMS AND CONDITIONS", "PROTEST". OR "MOTION TO INTERVENE", as applicable, and the Project Number of the particular application to which the filing refers. Any of the above-named documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of copies provided by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. A copy of any motion to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the particular application.

D2. Agency Comments—Federal, state, and local agencies are invited to file comments on the described application. A copy of the application may be obtained by agencies directly from the Applicant. If an agency does not file comments with the time specified for filing comments, it will be presumed to have no comments. One copy of an agency's comments must also be sent to the Applicant's representatives.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–31117 Filed 11–20–98; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Notice of Public Outreach Meeting

November 17, 1998.

Between the years 2000 and 2010, a number of hydropower project licenses in California will expire. We have scheduled a public outreach meeting in Sacramento, California for the purpose of discussing concerns and experiences stakeholders may have in using an alternative process in licensing hydropower projects. The agenda is as follows:

Place: Sterling Hotel, 1300 H Street, Sacramento, California 95814.

Date: Wednesday, December 9, 1998. Time: 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

The Sterling Hotel is located in downtown Sacramento at the junction of 13th Street and "H" Street. From the Sacramento Airport, it is about a 15 minute drive. From the Airport take Interstate 5 South to the "Old Sacramento J Street" off-ramp. Go on J Street and make a left onto 13th. Take 13th to H Street. There is a parking garage between "J" and "I" Streets on 13th.

For further information, please contact Theresa Gibson at (202) 219–2793.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–31112 Filed 11–20–98; 8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6191-8]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request: Application for the National Roster of Environmental Dispute Resolution and Consensus Building Professionals

AGENCY: U. S. Institute for Environmental Conflict Resolution, and Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), this document announces that EPA is planning to submit the following proposed Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB): National Roster of Environmental Dispute Resolution and Consensus Building Professionals, EPA ICR #1888.01. Before submitting the ICR to OMB for review and approval, EPA is soliciting comments on specific aspects of the proposed information collection (see the section A below entitled 'Questions to Consider in Making Comments"). This document provides information on the need for the roster and the information to be recorded in the roster and a discussion of qualification requirements for applicants wishing to be listed on the roster. Copies of the qualifications

requirements and draft application form have been distributed widely for review through professional societies such as the Society of Professionals in Dispute Resolution and the International Association of Public Participation. The Roster will not be open to receive applications until all Paperwork Reduction Act requirements are met. DATES: Comments must be received on or before January 22, 1999. ADDRESSES: Send comments to the Consensus and Dispute Resolution Program, Mail Code 2136, Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC 20460, fax: (202) 260-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Deborah Dalton, Deputy Director, Consensus and Dispute Resolution Program, Mail Code 2136, Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC 20460, fax: (202) 260–5478; email:dalton.deborah@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Potentially Affected Persons

You are potentially affected by this action if you are a dispute resolution or consensus building professional in the environmental or natural resources field who wishes to be listed on the National Roster of Environmental Dispute Resolution and Consensus Building Professionals. This Roster will be one of several sources of information which federal environmental and natural resource agencies will use to identify appropriately experienced conflict resolution professionals for use in resolving environmental and natural resource disputes or issues in controversy under the Administrative Dispute Resolution Act of 1996 and the Negotiated Rulemaking Act of 1996.

Title: Application for the National Roster of Environmental Dispute Resolution and Consensus Building Professionals—EPA ICR #1888.01.

Background

A. Questions to Consider in Making Comments

The U.S. Institute for Environmental Conflict Resolution and EPA request your comments to any of the following questions related to establishing a National Roster of Environmental Dispute Resolution and Consensus Building Professionals:

(1) Is the proposed roster ("collection of information") necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agencies, including whether the information will have practical utility?;

(2) Is the agencies' estimate of the time spent completing the application form ('burden of the proposed